arXiv:1212.5286v2 [math.AG] 2 Jan 2013

DERIVED AUTOEQUIVALENCES OF GENERALISED KUMMER VARIETIES

CIARAN MEACHAN

Abstract. In this article, we construct derived autoequivalences of generalised Kummer varieties. Together with Huybrechts-Thomas twists around $\mathbb{P}^n$-objects, these are the only known examples of such symmetries.

Introduction

The generalised Kummer variety K_n associated to an abelian surface A is, by definition, the fibre of the Albanese map $m : A^{[n+1]} \to A$ over zero where $A^{[n+1]}$ is the Hilbert scheme of $n+1$ points on A. The generalised Kummer variety can also be described as a fine moduli space of ideal sheaves on A. In particular, the universal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ on $A \times K_n$ gives rise to a Fourier-Mukai functor $F_K : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(K_n)$. We observe that F_K is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor for all $n > 1$ in the sense of Addington [Add11, Section 3]. That is, if R_K denotes the right adjoint to F_K then the kernel of the composition $R_K F_K$ is given by $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_\Delta[-2i]$ and the monad structure $R_K F_K R_K F_K \xrightarrow{\mu} R_K F_K$ closely resembles multiplication in the graded ring $H^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C})$. Addington's $\mathbb{P}^n$-functors are a generalisation Huybrechts and Thomas' $\mathbb{P}^n$-objects [HT06] and as such, they determine autoequivalences of the codomain category. For instance, when A is an abelian surface and F_K is the functor described above, a double cone construction produces a non-trivial element $P_{F_K} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}(K_n))$ which acts on $\mathrm{im}\, F_K$ by $[2-2n]$ and $(\mathrm{im}\, F_K)^\perp$ by the identity; the induced action on cohomology is trivial.

Theorem. *F_K is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor for all $n > 1$. In particular, we have a non-trivial derived autoequivalence*

$$P_{F_K} := \mathrm{cone}(\mathrm{cone}(F_K R_K[-2] \to F_K R_K) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathrm{id}_{K_n}) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}(K_n))$$

Another observation, which is perhaps of independent interest, is

Theorem. *Let $m : A^{[n]} \to A$ be the Albanese map. Then $m^* : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(A^{[n]})$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor. Thus, we generate new derived autoequivalences of the Hilbert scheme $A^{[n]}$ as well.*

Acknowledgements: The author is profoundly grateful to Daniel Huybrechts; not only for the invitation to Bonn but also for all his valuable help and support.

The author would also like to thank Nicolas Addington for explaining the details of [Add11] over many emails and Arend Bayer together with Andreas Krug for useful discussions. This work was supported by the SFB/TR 45 'Periods, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic of Algebraic Varieties' of the DFG (German Research Foundation).

1. $\mathbb{P}$-FUNCTORS

Definition 1.1. An exact functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ between triangulated categories with left and right adjoints $L, R : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^n$-functor if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) There is an autoequivalence H of $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$RF \simeq \mathrm{id} \oplus H \oplus H^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^n$$

(ii) The map $HRF \hookrightarrow RFRF \xrightarrow{R\epsilon F} RF$, when written in components

$$H \oplus H^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^n \oplus H^{n+1} \to \mathrm{id} \oplus H \oplus H^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^n,$$

is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 1 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

(iii) $R \simeq H^n L$. If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ have Serre functors, this condition is equivalent to $S_{\mathcal{B}} F H^n \simeq F S_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Theorem 1.2. *If F is a $\mathbb{P}^n$-functor then $P_F := \mathrm{cone}(\mathrm{cone}(FHR \xrightarrow{f} FR) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an equivalence where f is the composition $FHR \hookrightarrow FRFR \xrightarrow{\epsilon FR - FR\epsilon} FR$.*

Proof. See [Add11, Theorem 3]. □

Examples 1.3. (1) Let S be a smooth projective K3 surface and consider the natural functor $F : \mathcal{D}(S) \to \mathcal{D}(S^{[n]})$ induced by the universal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ on $S \times S^{[n]}$. Then F is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ functor with $RF \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_\Delta[-2i]$ and $H \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Delta[-2]$ [Add11, Theorem 2]. It was precisely this example which inspired the author to consider Beauville's [Bea83] other infinite family of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds: the generalised Kummer variety.

(2) A split spherical functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is one where the exact triangle $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\eta} RF \to C$ is split, i.e. $RF \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus C$. In other words, a split spherical functor is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-functor with $H \simeq C$. Just as in [HT06, Proposition 2.9], the $\mathbb{P}^1$-twist P_F associated to a split spherical functor is equivalent to the square of the spherical twist $T := \mathrm{cone}(FR \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}})$. See [Add11, p.37].

2. Nested Hilbert Schemes

The key results needed for the calculation of RF in the Hilbert scheme setting come from Ellingsrud and Strømme's work [ES98] on nested Hilbert schemes on smooth projective surfaces. Let us consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} A^{[n,n+1]} \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "q\times f"'] & A^{[n+1]} \\ A\times A^{[n]} & \end{tikzcd}$$

where $A^{[n,n+1]} := \{(\zeta,\xi)\in A^{[n]}\times A^{[n+1]} \mid \zeta\subset\xi\}$ is the incidence variety,

$$g : A^{[n,n+1]} \to A^{[n+1]} \ ; \ (\zeta,\xi)\mapsto \xi \quad \text{and} \quad f : A^{[n,n+1]} \to A^{[n]} \ ; \ (\zeta,\xi)\mapsto\zeta$$

are the natural maps induced by the projections and

$$q : A^{[n,n+1]} \to A \ ; \ (\zeta,\xi)\mapsto \xi\backslash\zeta := \mathrm{Supp}(\ker(\mathcal{O}_\xi\to\mathcal{O}_\zeta))$$

maps a pair of subschemes to the point where they differ.

Proposition 2.1. [ES98, Proposition 2.1 & 2.2]

- (i) *The map $g : A^{[n,n+1]}\to A^{[n+1]}$ factors naturally as $g=\pi_{n+1}\circ\psi$ where $\pi_{n+1} : \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}\to A^{[n+1]}$ and $\psi : A^{[n,n+1]}\to\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}})$. In particular, ψ is birational and an isomorphism over the pre-image $\mathcal{Z}'_{n+1}$ of the open dense subset parametrising local complete intersection subschemes of A, and g is generically finite of degree $n+1$.*
- (ii) *The map $q\times f : A^{[n,n+1]}\to A\times A^{[n]}$ is canonically isomorphic to the blowup of $A\times A^{[n]}$ along $\mathcal{Z}_n$. In particular, over $\mathcal{Z}'_n$, the map $q\times f$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.*

For any point $(\zeta,\xi)\in A^{[n,n+1]}$ we have two natural short exact sequences on A:

$$0\to\mathcal{I}_\xi\to\mathcal{I}_\zeta\to\mathcal{O}_{\xi\backslash\zeta}\to 0 \quad\text{and}\quad 0\to\mathcal{O}_{\xi\backslash\zeta}\to\mathcal{O}_\xi\to\mathcal{O}_\zeta\to 0.$$

Using these, we see that the fibre of $(q\times g)$ over a point $(x,\xi)\in A\times A^{[n+1]}$ is the projective space $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_x,\mathcal{O}_\xi)^*$ and the fibre of $(q\times f)$ over a point $(x,\zeta)\in A\times A^{[n]}$ is the projective space $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_\zeta,\mathcal{O}_x)^*\simeq\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{I}_\zeta|_x)$. In particular, we have

$$(q\times g)_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n,n+1]}}\simeq\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}} \quad\text{and}\quad (q\times f)_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n,n+1]}}\simeq\mathcal{O}_{A\times A^{[n]}}.$$

It can also be shown that the exceptional divisor

$$E := (q\times f)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}) = \{(\zeta,\xi)\in A^{[n,n+1]} \mid (\xi\backslash\zeta)\subset\zeta\}$$

is irreducible [ES98, Section 3], $\omega_{A^{[n,n+1]}}\simeq\mathcal{O}(E)$, $(q\times f)_*\mathcal{O}(E)\simeq\mathcal{O}_{A\times A^{[n]}}$, $(q\times f)_*\mathcal{O}_E(E)=0$ and q is a submersion [Add11, Section 2.1].

3. Hilbert Schemes of Points on an Abelian Surface

The Hilbert scheme $A^{[n+1]}$ of $n+1$ points on an abelian surface A can be thought of as a fine moduli space of ideal sheaves on A with trivial determinant. In particular, the structure sequence $0 \to \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}} \to \mathcal{O}_{A\times A^{[n+1]}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}} \to 0$ for the universal subscheme $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1} \subset A \times A^{[n+1]}$ gives rise to a sequence of Fourier-Mukai functors

$$F \quad F' \quad F'' \ : \ \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(A^{[n+1]})$$

whose right adjoints are denoted by R, R', R'' respectively. It is the observation that $F'' \simeq g_* q^*$ which brings the nested Hilbert scheme into play. Now, performing a similar calculation to that of [Add11, Sections 2.2 & 2.3] shows that the kernels of the compositions are:

$$\begin{aligned}
R'F' &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[2] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{2n-1} \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}^{\oplus 2}[-i] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[-2n] \\
R'F'' \simeq RF' &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[2] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{2n-3} \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}^{\oplus 2}[-i] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[2-2n] \\
R'F \simeq R''F' &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[2-2n] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}^{\oplus 2}[1-2n] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[-2n] \\
R''F'' \simeq RF &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{\oplus 2}[-i] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}[-2n] \\
&\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A\times A} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2n-3} \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}^{\oplus 2}[-i] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[2-2n]
\end{aligned}$$

where the last line uses the fact that the triangle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \otimes H^*(A^{[n]}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}}) \to R''F'' \to \mathcal{O}_{A\times A} \otimes H^*(A^{[n-1]}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{[n-1]}})$$

splits because there are no distinguished elements in $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{A\times A}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}) \simeq H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$. Indeed, a non-split triangle would, by construction, provide a non-trivial extension class for $m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}}$ and hence $\pi_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{A\times K_{n-1}}$ which is absurd; see Lemma 5.1.

We remark that F is not a $\mathbb{P}^n$-functor. Indeed, for $F : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(A^{[2]})$ we have

$$H \simeq C := \operatorname{cone}(\mathrm{id} \xrightarrow{\eta} RF) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{\oplus 2}[-1] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}[-2] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}.$$

Using [Huy06, Lemma 8.12], we see that C induces a map on cohomology given by

$$C^H \ : \ v \mapsto -v + \langle v(\mathcal{O}_A), v\rangle \cdot v(\mathcal{O}_A).$$

This is a reflection (so invertible) but it fails to be an isometry with respect to the Mukai pairing and so C cannot be an equivalence by [Huy06, Proposition 5.44]. Therefore, $F : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(A^{[n+1]})$ cannot be a $\mathbb{P}^n$-functor due to the shape of RF.

4. Generalised Kummer varieties

The difference with the generalised Kummer variety K_n is that it can be thought of as a fine moduli space of ideal sheaves on A with trivial determinant *and* codeterminant [Yos01, Section 4]; this extra condition has the effect of killing the unwanted trivial factor of H. If $i : K_n \hookrightarrow A^{[n+1]}$ denotes the inclusion then the universal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{n+1}} := (\mathrm{id}_A \times i)^* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}$ on $A \times K_n$ gives rise to a natural functor

$$F_K : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(K_n).$$

As one would expect, F_K is very closely related to $F : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(A^{[n+1]})$. In particular, we have

$$F_K \simeq i^* \circ F \quad \text{and} \quad R_K \simeq R \circ i_*.$$

Pushing and pulling along the inclusion in the appropriate places, one sees that the argument for [Add11, Theorem 2] goes through almost unchanged to yield

$$R'_K F'_K \simeq \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[-2i] \qquad R'_K F''_K \simeq \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{n-2} \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[-2i]$$

$$R'_K F_K \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[2-2n] \qquad R''_K F'_K \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[-2i] \qquad R_K F'_K \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[2]$$

$$R''_K F''_K \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}[-2i] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{O}_{A\times A}[-2i]$$

$$R_K F_K \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}[-2i]$$

where the penultimate line uses the fact that the triangle

$$\mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes H^*(K_{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{K_{n-1}}) \to R''_K F''_K \to \mathcal{O}_{A\times A} \otimes H^*(K_{n-2}, \mathcal{O}_{K_{n-2}})$$

splits because there are no distinguished elements in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{A\times A}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta) = H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$. By the same token, we see that the filtration for $R_K F_K$ must also split since $HH^1(A) \simeq H^1(\hat{A}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}) \oplus H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$. Again, these statements are made precise by Lemma 5.1.

To compute $R'_K F_K$, one needs to show that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^i(R'_K F'_K), \mathcal{H}^i(R'_K F''_K)) \neq 0$ for all $-2 \leq i \leq 4 - 2n$ but this follows from chasing the natural symplectic form σ on $A^{[n]}$ [Bea83, Proposition 5] around the fibres of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A^{[n,n+1]} \arrow[r, "q\times g"] \arrow[d, "q\times f"'] & A \times A^{[n]} \arrow[d, "\pi'_1"] \\
A \times A^{[n]} \arrow[r, "\pi_1"'] & A
\end{tikzcd}$$

together with the fact σ restricts to a symplectic form σ' on the fibres K_{n-1} which generates $H^{2,0}(K_{n-1})$; see [Bea83, Proposition 7]. The same argument shows that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^i(R''_K F'_K), \mathcal{H}^i(R'_K F'_K)) \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 2-2n$ which is needed to check $R_K F'_K$.

The only real extra ingredient which is needed in the proof is Lemma 5.1. For instance, to verify the calculation of $R'_K F''_K$ above, one needs to make the following observation. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A^{[n,n+1]} \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[dl, "q"'] \arrow[d, "q \times f"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & A^{[n+1]} \arrow[d, "m"] & K_n \arrow[l, hook', "i"'] \arrow[d] \\
A & A \times A^{[n]} \arrow[l, "\pi_1"'] \arrow[r, "h"] & A & e \arrow[l, hook']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $h := \Sigma \circ (\mathrm{id}_A \times m)$ and $\Sigma : A \times A \to A$ is the addition map. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q_* g^* i_* \mathcal{O}_{K_n} &\simeq \pi_{1*}(q \times f)_* g^* i_* \mathcal{O}_{K_n} \quad \text{since } q \simeq \pi_1 \circ (q \times f) \\
&\simeq \pi_{1*}(q \times f)_* g^* m^* \mathcal{O}_e \quad \text{since } i_* \mathcal{O}_{K_n} \simeq m^* \mathcal{O}_e \\
&\simeq \pi_{1*}(q \times f)_* (q \times f)^* h^* \mathcal{O}_e \quad \text{since } m \circ g = h \circ (q \times f) \\
&\simeq \pi_{1*}(h^* \mathcal{O}_e \otimes (q \times f)_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{[n,n+1]}}) \quad \text{by projection formula} \\
&\simeq \pi_{1*} h^* \mathcal{O}_e \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A \times A^{[n]}} \quad \text{since } (q \times f)_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{[n,n+1]}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A \times A^{[n]}} \\
&\simeq \pi_{1*} \mathcal{O}_J
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$J := \mathrm{Supp}(h^* \mathcal{O}_e) = h^{-1}(e) = \{(-m\zeta, \zeta) \in A \times A^{[n]}\} \cong A^{[n]}$$

In other words $\pi_1|_J : J \to A$ can be identified with $m : A^{[n]} \to A$ and so the required identity $\pi_{1*}\mathcal{O}_J \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_A[-2i]$ follows from Lemma 5.1. (For $A^{[n+1]}$, we use flat base change $(q \times f)_* g^* \simeq h^* m_*$ to make the analogous conclusion.)

The monad structure $R_K F_K R_K F_K \xrightarrow{\mu} R_K F_K$ is the hard part of the proof but again, we can appeal to [Add11, Section 2.5] and conclude with the following

Theorem 4.1. *Let K_n be the generalised Kummer variety associated to an abelian surface A and consider the natural functor $F_K : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(K_n)$ induced by the universal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ on $A \times K_n$. Then F_K is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor for all $n > 1$. In particular, we have a non-trivial derived autoequivalence*

$$P_{F_K} := \mathrm{cone}(\mathrm{cone}(F_K R_K[-2] \to F_K R_K) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathrm{id}_{K_n}) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}(K_n))$$

5. The Albanese Map

Recall that the Albanese map $m : A^{[n]} \to A$ is isotrivial. That is, we have the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \times K_{n-1} \arrow[r, "\nu"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1"'] & A^{[n]} \arrow[d, "m"] & & (x,\zeta) \arrow[r, mapsto, "\nu"] \arrow[d, mapsto, "\pi_1"'] & t_x\zeta \arrow[d, mapsto, "m"] \\
A \arrow[r, "\varphi"'] & A & & x \arrow[r, mapsto, "\varphi"'] & nx
\end{tikzcd}$$

Explicitly, we have $A \times_A A^{[n]} = \big\{(x,\zeta) \in A \times A^{[n]} \mid m\zeta = nx\big\}$ and an isomorphism $A \times_A A^{[n]} \xrightarrow{\sim} A \times K_{n-1}$; $(x,\zeta) \mapsto (x, t_{-x}\zeta)$. In other words, the morphism ν is just the restriction of the translation map on $A^{[n]}$ to K_{n-1}.

Lemma 5.1. *$m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_A[-2i]$ is a formal object in $\mathcal{D}(A)$.*

Proof. Semicontinuity implies that $\mathcal{H}^{2i}(m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}}) =: \mathcal{L}_i$ is locally free of rank one and $\mathcal{H}^{2i+1}(m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}}) = 0$ for all $0 \le i \le n-1$. Beauville [Bea83, Proposition 7] shows that the natural symplectic structure on $A^{[n]}$ induces a symplectic structure on the fibres K_{n-1} of m. In particular, powers of the symplectic form provide nowhere vanishing sections of the $\mathcal{L}_i$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_i \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$ for all $0 \le i \le n-1$.

Next, observe that a symplectic form $\sigma \in H^2(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_A[2])$ can be viewed in the derived category as a degree two endomorphism of $m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}}$ whose powers induce isomorphisms $\sigma^j : \mathcal{H}^{2i}(m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{2i+2j}(m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}})$ for all $j \ge 0$. Thus, by [Del68, Theorem 1.5], we can conclude that $m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}} \simeq \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}^i(m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}})$ is a formal object in $\mathcal{D}(A)$. □

Theorem 5.2. *$m^* : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(A^{[n]})$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor.*

Proof. First, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
m_*m^*\mathcal{E} &\simeq \mathcal{E} \otimes m_*\mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}} \quad \text{by projection formula} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{E}[-2i] \quad \text{by Lemma 5.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we observe that $m_*\eta m^* : m_*m^* \to m_*\nu_*\nu^*m^*$ is a map of monads and

$$\begin{aligned}
m_*\nu_*\nu^*m^*\mathcal{E} &\simeq \phi_*\pi_{1*}\pi_1^*\phi^*\mathcal{E} \quad \text{since the diagram is cartesian} \\
&\simeq \phi_*(\phi^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{A\times K_{n-1}}) \quad \text{by projection formula} \\
&\simeq \phi_*\phi^*\mathcal{E} \otimes H^*(K_{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{A\times K_{n-1}}) \quad \text{by base change}
\end{aligned}$$

which allows us to identify the monad structure $m_*\epsilon m^* : m_*m^*m_*m^* \to m_*m^*$ with multiplication in the graded ring $H^*(K_{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{K_{n-1}})$. Finally, the condition $S_{A^{[n]}}m^*[2-2n] \simeq m^*S_A$ is satisfied because $S_{A^{[n]}} \simeq [2n]$ and $S_A \simeq [2]$. □

6. Final Remarks

By [Yos01, Theorem 0.2], we know that the moduli space $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_H(v)$ of H-stable sheaves on an abelian surface with trivial determinant and codeterminant and primitive Mukai vector v is deformation equivalent to $K_{v^2/2-1}$ and so we expect Theorem 4.1 to hold in much more generality. More precisely, if $F : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{K})$ is the Fourier-Mukai functor induced by the universal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ on $A \times \mathcal{K}_H(v)$ and R is its right adjoint then we expect the kernel of RF to be given by $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\dim \mathcal{K}-1} \mathcal{O}_\Delta[-2i]$ and the monad structure $RFRF \xrightarrow{\mu} RF$ to be like multiplication in the graded ring $H^*(\mathbb{P}^{\dim \mathcal{K}-1}, \mathbb{C})$. Using an idea of Markman, it is possible to show that the unit map $\eta : \mathrm{id} \to RF$ splits in this generality (and hence we can deduce that F is faithful) but it is unclear whether the rest of RF can be computed using deformation theory?

Given that the current list of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds is so short, it is natural to ask if O'Grady's two isolated examples also give rise to natural $\mathbb{P}^n$-functors? We will address this question and the one above in future work.

References

[Add11] Nicolas Addington. New derived symmetries of some hyperkähler varieties. *Arxiv preprint arXiv:1112.0487v1*, 2011.

[Bea83] Arnaud Beauville. Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(4):755–782 (1984), 1983.

[Del68] Pierre Deligne. Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (35):259–278, 1968.

[ES98] Geir Ellingsrud and Stein Arild Strømme. An intersection number for the punctual Hilbert scheme of a surface. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(6):2547–2552, 1998.

[HT06] Daniel Huybrechts and Richard Thomas. $\mathbb{P}$-objects and autoequivalences of derived categories. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 13(1):87–98, 2006.

[Huy06] Daniel Huybrechts. *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry.* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.

[Yos01] Kōta Yoshioka. Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 321(4):817–884, 2001.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Deutschland
E-mail address: `meachan@math.uni-bonn.de`